Admission Control over DiffServ using Pre-Congestion Notification

Philip Eardley, Bob Briscoe, Dave Songhurst - BT Research Francois Le Faucheur, Anna Charny – Cisco Kwok-Ho Chan, Joe Babiarz - Nortel

IETF-64 tsvwg Nov 8th 2005

Summary

- Aim:
 - End-to-end Controlled Load (CL) service without flow state or signalling in the core / backbone
- Solution:
 - Builds on IntServ over DiffServ
 - new flow admission control mechanism (discover whether DiffServ region support another flow)
 - new flow pre-emption mechanism (if disaster means no longer possible to support all admitted CL flows, discover how many to pre-empt)
- drafts
 - 1. framework (architecture & use-case)
 - draft-briscoe-tsvwg-cl-architecture-01.txt
 - intention: informational
 - 2. Router marking behaviour definition
 - Coming soon...
 - intention: standards track
 - 3. RSVP extensions
 - draft-lefaucheur-rsvp-ecn-00.txt
 - intention: standards track

Summary [2]

- History & changes
 - Previous draft, <u>draft-briscoe-tsvwg-cl-architecture-00.txt</u>, from BT only.
 - BT, Cisco & Nortel have been working together intensively
 - Admission control:
 - New consistent terminology: Pre-Congestion Notification, a new algorithm for ECN-marking CL-packets (as allowed by RFC3168 [ECN])
 - Intent is to fully aligned with RFC3168 (same ECN codepoints)
 - Flow pre-emption mechanism added
 - RSVP extensions done (could also use other signalling protocols, eg NSIS)
- Assumptions:
 - Edge-to-edge Aggregation: many flows over DiffServ region
 - Trust: all nodes in DiffServ region trust each other (but doesn't have to be any trust relationship with end-hosts)
 - Separation: all nodes in DiffServ region upgraded with Pre-Congestion Notification (ie satisfies draft-floyd-ecn-alternates-03.txt)





NB mean number of pkts in real CL-queue is still very small

- Solution principles:
 - All routers in the DiffServ region can ECN-mark CL-pkts as 'early warning' of congestion, using the new algorithm
 - NB Bulk marking (not per flow)
 - Egress gateway meters ECN marks (moving average) (congestion-levelestimate)
 - NB Aggregate metering, ie per ingress (not per flow)
 - Ingress gateway admits new flow if *congestion-level-estimate* < threshold
 - congestion-level-estimate piggybacked on RSVP RESV (egress to ingress)

flow pre-emption

- the need for flow pre-emption
 - Coping with node/link failures (including multiple failures) in core networks is essential QoS issue
 - Consequent re-routing can cause severe congestion on some links and hence degrade the QoS
 - Need to support emergency/military calls (MLPP), especially in disaster scenarios
- rate-based pre-emption mechanism
 - Drop sufficient of the previously admitted CL microflows that the remaining ones again receive QoS commensurate with the CL service
 - Thus quickly restores acceptable QoS to lower priority classes
 - Better than just waiting for CL-sessions to end (which would eventually restore QoS)
- Solution is two-step process:
- 1. Alert the ingress that pre-emption *may* be needed
- 2. Ingress determines the right amount of CL-traffic to drop (if any)

flow pre-emption





flow pre-emption



 Re-marked-CL triggers egress to measure sustainable-aggregate-rate ie how much CL traffic fits across the DiffServ region

After flow pre-emption



summary

- controlled load (CL) service
 - Builds on IntServ over DiffServ
- New mechanisms for DiffServ region
 - Distributed-measurement based Adm Ctrl
 - Rate-based flow Pre-emption
 - Based on bulk pre-congestion marking across the edge-to-edge region
- Standardisation required:
 - New router behaviour for Pre-Congestion Notification (ECN field) and Pre-emption Alert
 - RSVP extension opaque object to carry congestion-level-estimate & sustainableaggregate-rate
- We are working to finalise router behaviour draft

benefits...

- Statistical QoS guarantee
 - IntServ over DiffServ end-to-end, and new adm ctrl mechanism over edge-to-edge DiffServ region
 - Preserve QoS to as many flows as possible if heavy congestion, through new pre-emption mechanism
- Support of emergency & military MLPP
 - By flow pre-emption if heavy congestion
- Scales well & resilient
 - No signal processing or path state held on interior routers
- Control load dynamically
 - Avoid potential catastrophic failure problem for big networks with DiffServ architecture & statically provisioned capacity
- Minimal new standardisation
- Incremental deployment
- Deployment path for ECN
 - Operators can gain experience of ECN before end terminals are ECN capable

We would like to get your feedback & further build consensus on the drafts, aiming to move to WG item at next ietf

Extensions (in progress / potential)

(Section 5 of framework draft)

- Inter-operator (DiffServ region spans multiple, non-trusting domains)
 - ECN-based anti-cheating mechanism, same as in <u>draft-briscoe-tsvwg-re-ecn-tcp-00</u>
 - passive inter-domain policing (bulk metering only nothing per flow)
 - Status: work done, draft soon (BT)
- Adaptive bandwidth for CL service
 - CL & non-CL share BW, based on relative demands, aims for economic efficiency whatever the traffic load matrix
 - Status: work done, on hold?
- MPLS-TE
 - Extend framework for adm ctrl into a set of MPLS-TE aggregates
 - need MPLS header to include the ECN field, which is not precluded by RFC3270
 - Status: is there community interest in this?
- Non-RSVP signalling
 - Eg NSIS could be used
 - Status: NSIS-community interest / help sought

Relationships to other QOS mechanisms (Section 6 of framework draft)

- IntServ Controlled Load
 - Somewhat better, as get 'early warning' before router queue builds. Also more robust to route changes.
- IntServ over DiffServ
 - Same architecture
 - We have: RSVP-awareness confined to "border nodes" (gateways); "router marking" (by ingress)
- Differentiated Services
 - DiffServ protocol but not (info) DiffServ architecture (that has static provisioning, through traffic conditioning agreements at ingress)
- ECN
 - Comply with IP aspects of RFC3168 (ECN), but new feedback mechanism instead of TCP aspects of RFC3168
- RTECN
 - Very similar approach, but RTECN is host-to-host rather than edge-to-edge as here
- RMD
 - Broadly similar, especially RMD's measurement-based adm ctrl mode
 - But RMD does hop-by-hop adm ctrl (all interior nodes in DiffServ region are QoS-NSLP aware & process RESERVE msg to compare the requested resources with {capacity minus current load})
 - Includes Severe Congestion handling our Pre-emption has same aim but different method
- RSVP Aggregation over MPLS-TE
 - possible to extend our framework for adm ctrl of microflows into a set of MPLS-TE aggregates
 - would require MPLS header to include the ECN field (not precluded by RFC3270)