Flow-start: Faster and Less Overshoot with Paced Chirps

Joakim Misund, Simula Bob Briscoe, Independent Mar 2018

Problem: originally L4S/ECN-specific Solution: delay-based

- Original problem
 - DCTCP's ECN marking prob. higher¹ than Classic drop
 - A flow pushing into existing traffic exits slow start earlier
- Solution
 - TCP Prague intended for public Internet
 - Unlike DCTCP, cannot assume ECN support at bottleneck
 - even if new flow experiences ECN marking
 - as available capacity increases, could reveal a non-ECN b'neck
 - Must use delay-sensing, with ECN only to improve precision

¹ Deliberate: 2 marks per RTT in steady state (control scales to any rate)

Problem: DCTCP slow start

- Throughput convergence
 - awful

- Queuing Impact
 - excellent



Solution: Paced Chirping

- Throughput convergence
 - excellent
- Queuing Impact
 - excellent
- Implemented
 - kernel module and modified the API to pacing in linux kernel
 - still only one outstanding timer per connection
- But not tested to extremes





- Packet chirps
 - continually pulse queue by a few packets, then relax
- Samples available (and max) capacity
 - available: rate where ACKs spread from sent pattern (after filtering noise within chirp)
 - max: ACK rate of last 2 packets
- Maximizes ratio of capacity-information-rate to harm (queue delay)
 - run each (per chirp) meaasurement through EWMA

Using chirps to measure available capacity



- This example measures constant available capacity
 - Code to interpret chirps filters noise to measure varying available capacity



- Avg rate of each chirp depends on EWMA of available capacity measured in previous rounds
- Noisy, but increasingly frequent measurements
- Queue delay solely depends on chirp geometry
- Notice, chirp length reduces
 - as available capacity measured in last round increases



- Growth in #chirps per RTT depends on a gain variable
 - vary gain dependent on stability of available capacity samples
- Push-in a little harder than available capacity grows:
 - other flows yield
 - activity-triggered link scheduler expands per-user capacity
- When shift from paced chirps to ACK clocking?
 - · when chirps fill the round trip
 - or ...? (to be determined, perhaps using ECN for extra precision?)

Still, queue delay solely depends on chirp geometry, not gain



- Growth in #chirps per RTT depends on a gain variable
 - vary gain dependent on stability of available capacity samples
- Push-in a little harder than available capacity grows:
 - other flows yield
 - activity-triggered link scheduler expands per-user capacity
- When shift from paced chirps to ACK clocking?
 - · when chirps fill the round trip
 - or ...? (to be determined, perhaps using ECN for extra precision?)

Still, queue delay solely depends on chirp geometry, not gain

Caveats

- Delayed ACKs & ACK thinning
 - we need rcvr to suppress delayed ACKs during SS
 - Linux rcvr quickacks during SS detected heuristically
 - but our modified start-up phase confuses its heuristics
 - sender could request quickacks with a 1-bit option
 - Could put arrival times in ACKs (as in QUIC)
- Bursty MACs and schedulers
 - Our initial experiments are with simple Ethernet
 - Shared upstream links (LTE, DOCISIS, GPON) all time-slotted
 - Averaging within chirps designed to cope, but may need tweaking
 - EWMA designed to cope with numerous noisy measurements

Closing the loop

- Paced chirps: not just for slow-start
 - for whenever the closed loop signal is lost
- With Scalable CC, e.g. TCP Prague, DCTCP, etc.
 - after 1 round trip without marks
 - start paced chirps to rapidly find new operating point



Why two phases?

- 1) Start-up:
 - paced chirping
- 2) Steady state:
 - regular congestion avoidance, preferably scalable (e.g. DCTCP, TCP Prague, Relentless, Scalable TCP)
- Benefit of not chirping in steady state
 - using ACK clock reduces timer burden on servers (large majority of packets are sent in steady state)
 - cuts out noise (each chirp is a signal for the sender, but noise for other flows)

Further Work Needed

- Research
 - Termination condition when to stop pushing in
 - Improving noise filtering & precision of chirps
 esp. for bursty MACs: LTE/5G, DOCSIS, GPON
 - Exploiting ECN if available
 - Initial avg. gap for a wide range of possible networks
 - Evaluation over much wider range of conditions & iterate design
 much lower/higher BDP, hi as well as lo stat. mux. bottlenecks, etc.

Engineering

- handling loss, reordering during slow start
- TFO when RTT estimate is stale in the first RTT
- mimic QUIC's ACKS listing arrival times in other protocols

Summary

- TCP slow-start is mimicked in most transport protocols
 - an open loop phase characterized by arbitrary numbers
- Paced chirping
 - closes the open loop frequent startup information
 - queue delay solely depends on geometry of each chirp, not pace of chirps
 - maximizes ratio: (capacity-information-rate / harm)
- Initial research
 - much more testing and development to do

Flow-start: Faster and Less Overshoot with Paced Chirps



Measuring Available Capacity using Chirps

- Find inter-packet gap where path delay starts to persistently increase
 - 1) Record each inter-packet path delay increase

 $\Delta q_n = q_n - q_{n-1}$

where $q = ts_{rcv} - ts_{snd}$; *ts* are timestamps; and *n* is the packet number

- 2) Ideally one-way delay: timestamp each packet:
 - when sent (not when scheduled to send)
 - when received
 - in practice use when ACK rec'd (round trip delay)
- 3) Filter out noise. Simple example filter:
 - only count an increasing trend of more than *L* packets to count as an increase $Aq > \frac{max_{i=1}^{n}(\Delta q_{i})}{E}$
 - default: *L*=5, *F*=1.5

Linux Pacing Framework



modifications in red

Linux kernel Structure to set up per-packet rates

