More Accurate ECN Feedback in TCP

Bob Briscoe, Independent<ietf@bobbriscoe.net>Image: Comparison of the second sec

TCPM WG, IETF-106, Nov 2019







Problem (Recap): Congestion Existence, not Extent

- Explicit Congestion Notification
 - routers/switches mark more packet

0 0 1 2

Port

RFC3168 added ECN to IP and T0

ation (ECN) packets as load grows									IP	-Е(CN Codepoint				Meaning											
									00)			not-ECT				No ECN									
									10)		ECT(0)					CON Copoble Transport									
									01	L		ECT(1)					ECIN-Capable Hallspoll						ι			
									11	L			CE				Congestion Experienced									
)					1										2										3	
) 1 2 3	456	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1
Port no's, Seq no's																										
Data Res- N C E U A P R S F Offset erved S W C R C S S Y I R E G K H T N N											Window															
Checksum									Urgent Pointer																	

TCP Options...

Problem with RFC3168 ECN feedback:

- only one TCP feedback per RTT
- rcvr repeats ECE flag for reliability, until sender's CWR flag acks it
- suited TCP at the time one congestion response per RTT

Solution (recap): Congestion Extent, not just Existence

- AccECN: Change to TCP wire protocol
 - Repeated count of CE packets (ACE) essential
 - and CE bytes (AccECN Option) supplementary

0 0 1 2 3	4 5 6	789	1 0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	2 0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	3 0	1
Port no's, Seq no's																								
Data Res- erved ACE U A P R S F Cffset G K H T N N																								
	Checksum Urgent Pointer																							
TCP Options																								
AccECN Option, length: min 2B, typ 5/8B, max 11B																								
TCP Opti	TCP Options																							

- Key to congestion control for low queuing delay
 - 0.5 ms (vs. 5-15 ms) over public Internet

AccECN TCP Option Field Order

kind	length	EE0B [init=1]	ECEB [init=0]	EE1B [init=0]
------	--------	---------------	---------------	---------------

- AccECN TCP Option
 - can omit fields that have not changed since the last ACK from the right hand end
- NEW?: Two types of AccECN TCP Options with the same kind
 - · switch order of fields for whole connection
 - · dependent on initial value of first field on first option received

Fixed inconsistencies

- Previously, WG made AccECN TCP Option optional
- Michael Scharf noticed that change-triggered ACKs text was inconsistent
 - said "...MUST send a change-triggered AccECN TCP Option..."
 - (a change-triggered ACK is an ACK that is triggered by change of a field)
 - made us realize we needed to describe change-triggered ACKs separately for the two cases:
 - ACE field only
 - ACE and AccECN TCP Option

Next Steps

• WGLC